Please amend claims 1, 4, 6, 8 and 10, and add new claims 13-14 as follows:

1. (Currently Amended) A training assistant system comprising:

a training task presentation unit for presenting a training task ~~and a training content~~ to a trainee having damage in the brain;

a trainee's response collection unit for collecting, from the trainee, a response in accordance with the training task ~~and the training content~~;

a brain activity measurement unit for measuring brain activity <u>at a plurality of brain regions</u> of the trainee;

<u>means for searching a region of interest among the plurality of brain regions by comparing a response from the trainee's response collection unit with measurement results from the brain activity measurement unit;</u> and

an information processor for controlling presentation by said training task presentation unit and <u>for</u> determining a next training task to be performed <u>depending upon the region of interest searched by said means for searching</u> ~~such that at least a first result of the response obtained from the trainee's response collection unit and a second result of measuring the brain activity of the trainee in a training execution process, which is obtained from said brain activity measurement unit, are used to decide the next training task to be performed,~~

~~wherein said brain activity measurement unit measures the brain activity at each of a plurality of regions in the brain, and includes a selection unit for selecting, among said plurality of regions, a region of interest which has the damage in the brain and isused to evaluate a result of training and to determine the next training task to be performed.~~

2. (Cancelled)

3. (Previously Presented) A training assistant system according to claim 1, wherein said information processor controls said training task presentation unit such that a task for searching the region of interest executed prior to the presentation of said training task.

4.   (Currently Amended) A training assistant system according to claim 1, wherein said information processor sets evaluation criteria for the ~~first result~~ <u>response</u> of training the trainee and evaluates said ~~first result~~ <u>response</u> of training the trainee based on the evaluation criteria.

5.   (Previously Presented) A training assistant system according to claim 4, wherein said evaluation criteria include a response time and a correct answer rate.

6.   (Currently Amended) A training assistant system according to claim 1, wherein said information processor sets evaluation criteria for the ~~second result of training the trainee~~ <u>measurement results from the brain activity measurement unit</u> and evaluates said <u>measurement results</u> ~~second result of training the trainee~~ based on the evaluation criteria.

7.   (Previously Presented) A training assistant system according to claim 6, wherein said evaluation criteria includes a change percentage in a peak value of the brain activity.

8.   (Currently Amended) A training assistant system according to claim 1, wherein said information processor sets evaluation criteria for the [[first]] <u>response from the trainee's response collection unit</u> and <u>the measurement results from the brain activity measurement unit</u> ~~second results of training the trainee~~ and evaluates said [[first]] <u>response</u> and ~~second~~ <u>measurement</u> results ~~of training the trainee~~ based on the evaluation criteria.

9.   (Previously Presented) A training assistant system according to claim 8, wherein said evaluation criteria include a response time, a correct answer rate and a change percentage in a peak value of the brain activity.

10.   (Currently Amended) A training assistant system according to claim 1, wherein said <u>means for searching</u> ~~selection unit~~ compares a first timing of the response ~~obtained~~ from the trainee<u>'s response collection unit</u> and a plurality of second timings of the <u>measurement results from the brain activity measurement unit</u> ~~brain activity in the~~

~~regions in the brain~~, and selects the region of interest by judging synchronism between the first timing and the second timings.

11.　(Previously Presented) A training assistant system according to claim 10, wherein the synchronism between the first timing and the second timings is judged by using a correlation coefficient or a calculation method.

12.　(Previously Presented) A training assistant system according to claim 1, wherein said training task is presented via at least images or sounds.

13.　(New) A training assistant system according to claim 1, wherein the training task presentation unit presents said new training task to the trainee,

　　　a response to said new training task from the trainee's response collection unit is compared with measurement results of said new training task from the brain activity measurement unit to evaluate a result of training so as to decide another new training task to be performed.

14.　(New) A training assistant system according to claim 1, wherein said means for searching locates the region of interest without using information of a damage location in the brain.